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Before my talk...
A short history; Relationship between Hangzhou and Japan

• Hangzhou 杭州：Capital of Song dynasty (Southern Song 1127-1279).
• There is a trade between Song Dy. and Japan (Hakata) in 10-13 cent, 日宋貿易
• From Song: Cupper Coin, Silk, Chinaware, Book, Canon of Buddhism, etc.
• From Japan: Gold, Silver, Sulfur, Pearl, Handcrafts, etc.
• Big impact on development of Japanese economy at that time!
Outline
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5. Summary
1. Introduction: Parton Energy Loss

• After the discovery of QGP at RHIC, we are now interested in the properties of QGP in detail.
• From discoveries to precision measurements.
• Energy loss of parton (ΔE) in hot and dense medium has a rich and key contents to identify the QGP properties.
Analogy: \( \frac{dE}{dx} \) in QED

Can we understand \( \frac{dE}{dx} \) of parton in QGP like this?
Ingredients of parton energy loss in hot/dense matter

Figures taken from Christof Roland’s talk (QM11)

(a) Radiative energy loss in medium

\[ \frac{dE_{rad}}{dx} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{4} \hat{q}_R L; \quad \hat{q}_R = \rho \int dq_T^2 \frac{d\sigma_R}{dq_T^2} q_T^2, \]

(b) Collisional energy loss in medium

(c) Fragmentation (in vacuum, or medium modified), and jets.

• These ingredients are sensitive to medium properties, and location and time of the process happens.
• Direct jet reconstruction \(\rightarrow\) a crucial role to determine the medium properties.
Direct jet quenching is seen at LHC!
Organized Jets

\[ A_J = \frac{p_{T,1} - p_{T,2}}{p_{T,1} + p_{T,2}} \]

- Quantify jet energy imbalance by the asymmetry ratio.
- Large asymmetry is seen in energy imbalance.
Highlights on jets at LHC (QM2011)

1. Extremely large energy loss on the away side jets.
   - Large energy asymmetry in central Pb+Pb.
   - Jet $R_{cp} \sim 0.5$.

2. Little modification of jet shape
   - Both near and away side.
   - Both transverse ($j_T$) and longitudinal (FF).
   - No broadening of jets.

3. Lost energy is used for the low $p_T$ bulk particle production at large angle!
What’s next?

• One has to study this very interesting phenomena in great detail from many aspects.
• (personally) Interested in the medium response (soft particle production) by the passage of energetic parton and its energy loss.
  – Re-heated matter?
  – If so, what the mechanism of re-heating?
  – ...

In order to answer this question:
“Jet axis” is one of the key parameters. Then, measure the other observables surrounding the jet jet axis, including soft particles.
  – Particle composition of bulk soft particles produced by jets.
  – Reaction plane dependence of jet properties (path length effect).

• ALICE has an advantage of this kind of analysis.
2. DIJET CALORIMETER (=DCAL) IN LHC-ALICE
ALICE experiment

ALICE = A Large Ion Collider Experiment

- Dedicated heavy ion experiment at LHC:
Key detectors for jet measurement in ALICE

- **TPC (+ITS)**
  - Charged particles $\Delta \eta = 1.8$.
  - Excellent momentum resolution.
  - Excellent PID and heavy flavor tagging.

- **EMCal**
  - Pb-Scint sampling EMC.
  - $\Delta \phi = 107^\circ$, $\Delta \eta = 1.4$
  - Energy resolution $\sim 10\%/\sqrt{E}$
  - Jet and $\gamma$ trigger

- **PHOS**
  - PWO crystal EMC.
  - $220^\circ < \phi < 320^\circ$, $\Delta \eta = 0.24$
  - Energy resolution $\sim 3\%/\sqrt{E}$
  - $\gamma$ trigger.
Current jet identification in ALICE is limited, due to the limited acceptance of EMCal; neutral component does matter.

Statistical fluctuation with total energy limitation.
- Total jet energy is fixed.
  - fluctuation of neutral play significant role

Need larger acceptance EMC on opposite side of EMCal in ALICE.
**ALICE Dijet Calorimeter (DCal)**

- **Extension of the acceptance of EMCal.**
- **Lead-scintillator sampling type EMC with APD readout.**
  - EMCal: \( \Delta \phi = 110^\circ \)
  - DCal: \( \Delta \phi = 60^\circ \) (on opposite side of EMCal)
  - \( \Delta \eta = 0.7 \) for both EMCal and DCal + PHOS
  - \( \sim 10%/\sqrt{E} \)
- **Allow back-to-back hadron-jet, di-jet measurements in ALICE, with \( R = 0.4 \), up to \( p_T \sim 150 \text{ GeV/c} \).**
- **Enhance jet, \( \gamma \) trigger capability.**
D-Cal Design

6 Super Module configuration was chosen to have a largest possible jet radii in DCal, together with PHOS, i.e. R=0.4
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3. Physics case of DCal

• Case 1: Jet-Jet in p+p
• Case 2: Jet-Jet in Pb+Pb (quenching effect)
• Case 3: $\pi^0$-Jet in Pb+Pb

– Notes:
  • MC simulation study using qPYTHIA.
  • “DCal” means including PHOS acceptance, i.e. DCal+PHOS.
  • Uncertainty on data points: Pb+Pb (central 0-10%) @ 5.5 TeV one year running statistical uncertainty (≈ 0.5 nb$^{-1}$).
  • Jet reconstruction: FAST jet anti-kT algorithm, R=0.4.
Case 1: Dijet in p+p

\[ \Delta = \frac{(E_T^{DCal} - E_T^{EMCal})}{(E_T^{DCal} + E_T^{EMCal})/2} \]

- Dijet energy balance, \( \Delta \).
- No quenching (p+p)
- True dijet peak \( \Delta \approx 0 \), except without DCal.
- No clear peak EMC-TPC (no DCal).
- Asymmetric shape due to recoil jet escape the acceptance of DCal, and jet from BG.
- DCal is essential for better \( \Delta \) resolution.
Case 1: Dijet in p+p

- DCal improves the energy balance resolution from ~35% to ~25% @ $E_{T}^{\text{EMCal}} = 60$ GeV, down to <20% for higher $E_{T}^{\text{EMCal}}$.

- Small effect by gap between PHOS and DCal.
Case 2: Dijet in Pb+Pb, quenched jet

- Same as “Case 1” but, required trigger jet in DCal side instead.
- “Tail” on positive side due to trigger for DCal.
- In this case, $<qhat> = 50 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm}$, and DCal jet energy threshold of 100 GeV.
- Peak: true dijet with quenching.
  - Look at sigma, and centroid to quantify the jet quenching effect (see next slide).
- Tail: recoil jet out of acceptance, BG.
Case 2: Dijet in Pb+Pb, quenched jet

- **Red**: \( \langle q_{\text{hat}} \rangle = 50 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm} \), **Black**: \( \langle q_{\text{hat}} \rangle = 0 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm} \).
- **Broadening of peak** is seen for jet quenching.
- **Shift of centroid** -> energy loss.
- Using one year Pb+Pb running (in this model & parameter set), possible to study jet quenching effect up to \( E_T^{\text{DCal}} \sim 150 \text{ GeV} \).

**Width**

**Centroid**
Case 3: $\pi^0$-jet, control path length

Geometry of $\pi^0$ trigger and associated recoil jet

- Trigger $\pi^0$ in DCal, requiring jet in EMCal.
- Producing strong geometry bias by hand, i.e. “control” the path length of jet.
Case 3: $\pi^0$-jet, control path length

- Hard scattering point (in x-y plane) of trigger $\pi^0$ with associate recoil jet.
- The higher $E_T\pi^0$, the stronger surface bias.
- $<qhat> = 20$ & $50$ GeV$^2$/fm
  - small difference.
  - can be used as geometry measure of emission point, without knowing the quench parameters.
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Case 3: \( \pi^0 \)-jet, control path length

- Trigger \( \pi^0 \) (right): Minimizing path length.
- Recoil jet (left): Maximizing path length.
- Path length of jet medium, “control” experiment.
- Efficient trigger of \( \pi^0 \) (Level 1) is the key, and it is capable by utilizing existing level 1 readout for EMC.
Other interesting measurements with DCal

- **γ-jet**:  
  - Golden channel.
  - Complementally to h (π₀) -jet, jet-jet.
- **Medium responses with jet axis**
- **Ridge study with di-jet & π₀ - jet**
- **Reaction plane dependence of:**  
  - di-jet energy balance
  - π₀-jet
- **Discrimination of quark jet, gluon jet**  
  - Study of energy loss mechanism.
  - Multiplicity, γ-jet (mostly quark jet) can be used.
- **Heavy flavor tagged jets**  
  - Energy loss for c, b quarks.
- **Multi-jet events**
- **Jet quenching in High multiplicity p+p events?**
4. Current status and schedule

• DCal has been approved by the ALICE collaboration, Oct. 2009.
• Module production in France, Japan and China has been basically completed.
  – 3.0 SM from Wayne State Univ. (USA)
  – 1.5 SM from Tsukuba (Japan)
  – 1.0 SM from Wuhan (China)
  – 0.5 SM from Nantes (France)
    • Total: 6 SM (192 x 6 = 1152 modules) for DCal.
• Integrated SM in Nantes, Grenoble.
• Installed in the ALICE experimental area during the long LHC shutdown in 2012-2013.
EMCal status in 2011

• On Jan. 2011, EMCal installation has been completed.
  – 2880 modules installed in 10 super modules.
  – Principal construction sites: USA, France, Italy.
• Started data taking with the full EMCal coverage from LHC11.
All module production has been completed (July 2011).
At Nantes (France)

All module production has been (or to be) sent to Nantes.
At Catania (Italy)

Module Assembly & APD assembly/calibration at Catania
At Wuhan, CCNU (China)

All module production will be finished by July 2011.
5. Summary

• Dijet Electromagnetic Calorimeter (DCal) in ALICE experiment provide a powerful & crucial tool to investigate hot and dense matter in HI collisions at LHC, through dijet and \( \pi^0 \)-jet using jet axis:

1. Di-Jet correlations:
   • Energy balance of jet.
2. \( \pi^0 \)-Jet correlations:
   • Control path length of jet.

• Together with other measurements (e.g. \( \gamma \)-jet, reaction plane dep., medium response), one may get a complete understanding of jet quenching mechanism at LHC energy, i.e. “jet tomography”, and the nature of QGP.

• DCal will be installed in the next LHC long shutdown (2012-2013), and will be ready to take 5.5 TeV Pb+Pb data in the following year.
謝謝！
BACKUP SLIDES
DCal components

- Lead
- Paper
- Scint.
- Module (77 layers)
- Strip (12 modules)
- DCal (6 S.M.)
- S.M. (192 modules)
- 4 APD/module

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tower Size (at $\eta=0$)</td>
<td>$\sim 6.0 \times \sim 0.0 \times 24.6 \text{ cm}^2$ (active)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Size</td>
<td>$\Delta \phi \times \Delta \eta = 0.0143 \times 0.0143$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Ratio</td>
<td>1.44 mm Pb / 1.76 mm Scintillator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Layers</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Radiation Length $X_0$</td>
<td>12.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Moliere Radius $R_M$</td>
<td>3.20 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Density</td>
<td>568 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Fraction</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Radiation Lengths</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Possibility of Parton ID

- As a new generation exp., from Particle ID to Parton ID!
  - According to CDF exp., charged/neutral works
  - Might be very difficult in heavy ion environment
  - Nevertheless, challenge!
  - It becomes feasible for higher $p_t$ jet

CDF, PRL94(2005)171802
Jet trigger in Pb+Pb

- With $R_{cone} = 0.2$, triggering jet of < 50 GeV becomes difficult.

- Back-to back
Full jet reconstruction, and h-jet correlations at RHIC

Key to understand jet in HI collisions:
(1) correlations, (2) fully reconstructed jet.

- Used fully reconstructed jet (by STAR TPC & EMC).
- Trigger high $p_T$ hadron, and look at recoil jet in away side, measure conditional yield in (Au+Au / p+p).
- **Stronger suppression for lower recoil jet energy.**
  - indicating broadening of recoil jet cone size.
- “Controlled” surface bias.
Case 3: $\pi^0$-jet, control path length

semi-inclusive jet spectrum
($\pi^0$-jet)

Au+Au/p+p
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**RHIC vs. LHC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RHIC</th>
<th>LHC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>√s_{NN} (GeV)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/T_c</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.5-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε (GeV/fm³)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ_{QGP} (fm/c)</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **LHC**: Jet production dominant.
  - Study the matter by clean many hard probes, and look at response of bulk matter in HI collisions.

**LHC**
- Inclusive jets (R=0.4), annual yield; $10^4$ @ $p_T = 200$ GeV/c (5.5 TeV, Year-1)
R_{AA} : RHIC vs. LHC, Similar R_{AA} !

0-5% ALICE with 0-10% PHENIX Centrality

0-5% ALICE with 0-5% PHENIX Centrality
$R_{AA}$: Rise for $p_T > 10$ GeV/c

Minimum $R_{AA}$ is $\sim 0.1$ at $p_T \sim 6$ GeV/c; stronger suppression than RHIC ($R_{AA} \sim 0.2$)
Rise to $R_{AA} \sim 0.5$ at $p_T \sim 30-40$ GeV/c and stay constant (?)
Where energy/momentum goes (CMS) (1)

**Missing-$p_T^{\parallel}$**

$$p_T^{\parallel} = \sum_{\text{Tracks}} -p_T^{\text{Track}} \cos (\phi_{\text{Track}} - \phi_{\text{Leading Jet}})$$

Calculate missing $p_T$ in ranges of track $p_T$:

- Excess away from leading jet
- Excess towards leading jet

The momentum difference in the dijet is balanced by low $p_T$ particles
Where energy/momentum goes (2)

**Missing-\(p_T\)**

3rd lesson learned:

The momentum difference in the dijet is balanced by low \(p_T\) particles at large angles relative to the away side jet axis.